

**Planning Board Meeting
January 24, 2018**

Present:

Peter Sheridan, Chairman
Matt Coldrick
Marybeth Murphy
Fred Sievers
Lance Spallholz
Thomas Peterson, Planning Board Attorney

Absent:

William Ryan, Alternate

Guests:

Phyllis B. Wildeberger	Josh Cottrell
Timothy Lesar	Katie Cottrell
Matt Brobston	Eric Sievers
Frank Mazza	Mike Harrington
Tom Mazza	
Leannce Martin	
Gregory McPauley	
Paul Block, Village Board	

Agenda: January Monthly Meeting, Part II

- 26 Albany Avenue
- Fire House Pre-submission Conference
- Open Discussion

At 7:01 PM, Mr. Sheridan reopened the January meeting noting that Mr. Ryan was absent and that Mr. Sievers was continuing to recuse himself due to a conflict of interest.

26 Albany Avenue

Mr. Sheridan said he had received the new survey for 26 Albany Avenue, which added 1" to the property line, increasing the setback to 3'1" on the east side, which allows for windows. The Cottrells said the engineer would have plans to the Village Office by February 9th. They have bids out for work, including one to a builder who has been on the Saratoga County Preservation Board. The Round Lake Planning Board will need the site plan on a larger scale to review.

Fire House Pre-submission Conference

Tonight the Planning Board would like to proceed with SEQR review, which Mr. Sheridan deferred to Mr. Mike Harrington. Mr. Harrington recommended the Planning Board declare itself as the lead agency. They should then send pertinent information out to any other agencies who might contest the decision. After 30 days if there is no response, then there is no contest. He recommended that the Planning Board review the draft as if we are the lead agency.

Mr. Harrington provided a draft resolution, resolution below and full document attached, which Mr. Sheridan then read:

*SEQR Lead Agency Resolution
January 24, 2018*

WHEREAS, the Round Lake Hose Company is undertaking a project called the Fire House Replacement Project (hereinafter referred to as 'the Project'). The proposed Fire House Replacement project is located at the site of the existing fire house at 13 Curry Avenue in the Village of Round Lake. The project proposes to remove the existing four bay fire house structure and replace it with a new four bay fire house with larger office spaces on the second floor, larger fireman's room on the second floor, a separation of hot and cold zones to improve fireman safety, and larger conference/training rooms. The project replaces the existing building in the same location in relation to the front yard setback. The existing sanitary and water connections will be cut and capped during construction and reconnected for use by the proposed structure. The 37 parking spaces shown for the project site utilize the existing limits of pavement to the north and a small pavement addition to the east of the building for fire responder parking during calls and fire events. The total acreage of the project site is less than 3 acres and the total site disturbance is less than 1 acre. The site grading is minimal and includes new yard drains and drainage pipe for the area of new pavement on the recently acquired lot. The project will eliminate an underground propane storage tank for the generator and will remove the existing detached garage which is located to the north of the existing building; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board is reviewing the project relative to provisions of the Village's Site Plan regulations.

**Planning Board Meeting
January 24, 2018**

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE VILLAGE OF ROUND LAKE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Planning Board hereby determines that the project is an Unlisted action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), Article 8 of the New York Environmental Conservation law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 617; and*
- 2. The Planning Board hereby declares itself SEQRA Lead Agency; and*
- 3. The Planning Board hereby instructs the Chair, with the assistance of the consulting engineer and attorney, to notify involved agencies of the Planning Board's intent to serve as SEQRA Lead Agency and to further initiate the coordinated review of the Project under the SEQRA regulations and authorizes the Chair to sign all environmental review documents*

By (unanimous/majority) vote of the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake at its meeting of January 24, 2018.

Mr. Sheridan made a motion to declare the Round Lake Planning Board as the SEQR Lead for the Fire House Replacement Project, which Ms. Murphy seconded. Mr. Spallholz, Ms. Murphy, Mr. Coldrick, and Mr. Sheridan unanimously approved the motion. Mr. Harrington will send Ms. Elford the language (above) and he will send out the notices to the involved and interested parties.

The Planning Board then reviewed the letter going out to involved and interested parties (attachment 2). The interested parties are the Village of Round Lake, Saratoga County Planning Department, the Town of Malta, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, New York State Department of Transportation, and United States Army Corps of Engineers.

Unless one of the involved and interested parties contests the SEQR Lead, the Round Lake Planning Board will be the undisputed lead agency as of February 25, 2018 based on the 30-day time limit.

Mr. Harrington passed out the Part 2 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF) for review, attachment 3, which the Planning Board is reviewing as if it were already SEQR lead. Reviewing section 10, Impact on Historic and Archaeological Resources, although it is checked as yes, there is truly no or minimal impact. The proximity to a Historic District necessitated the answers to section 10 be a yes.

In section 5, Impact on Flooding, question d., Mr. Spallholz asked about the stormwater drain and runoff to Curry Avenue. He has concerns with the roof collecting water and diverting underground. Mr. Spallholz asked Mr. Harrington if the current drain could handle the increased flow. Mr. Harrington deferred to Mr. Brobston, who said yes. It is a large pipe that goes across the street, drains to the same ditch it drains to today, and finally exits to the stream. The impervious amounts for runoff will be similar to how they are today, and should drain as it does now. Mr. Harrington said it could be addressed in the final site plan.

For section 1 Impact on Land, Section 3 Impacts on Surface Water, section 14 Impact on Energy, and Section 15 Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light, these are marked as yes due to the slight increase to the size of the building, but all are minimal. Mr. Coldrick said the Planning Board discussed lighting at the last meeting, and that should be added to section 15, part 3, for either the adjacent traffic or adjacent property.

For sections 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, and 18, these questions were all marked as no impact.

For Part 3 of the FEAF, attachment 4, the form addresses the items that support the determination.

**Planning Board Meeting
January 24, 2018**

After review of the Part 3 of the FEAF, Mr. Spallholz felt that the Round Lake Planning Board could move ahead with the SEQR Negative Declaration Resolution. Mr. Coldrick made a resolution to make a negative declaration contingent on the language from Mr. Peterson that we are the lead agency without contestation. Mr. Spallholz seconded the motion. Mr. Sheridan asked if there was any discussion. Mr. Spallholz, Ms. Murphy, Mr. Coldrick, and Mr. Sheridan unanimously approved the motion.

After passing the resolution, the Planning Board decided to delay its regular February meeting until February 28, 2018, to review and potentially approve the site plan. That will be beyond the February 25, 2018 deadline for contesting lead agency. Based on that, Mr. Harrington provided the draft SEQR Negative Declaration Resolution, attachment 5, and provided below:

SEQR Negative Declaration Resolution
February XX, 2018

WHEREAS, the Round Lake Hose Company is undertaking a project called the Fire House Replacement Project (hereinafter referred to as 'the Project'). The proposed Fire House Replacement project is located at the site of the existing fire house at 13 Curry Avenue in the Village of Round Lake. The project proposes to remove the existing four bay fire house structure and replace it with a new four bay fire house with larger office spaces on the second floor, larger fireman's room on the second floor, a separation of hot and cold zones to improve fireman safety, and larger conference/training rooms. The project replaces the existing building in the same location in relation to the front yard setback. The existing sanitary and water connections will be cut and capped during construction and reconnected for use by the proposed structure. The 37 parking spaces shown for the project site utilize the existing limits of pavement to the north and a small pavement addition to the east of the building for fire responder parking during calls and fire events. The total acreage of the project site is less than 3 acres and the total site disturbance is less than 1 acre. The site grading is minimal and includes new yard drains and drainage pipe for the area of new pavement on the recently acquired lot. The project will eliminate an underground propane storage tank for the generator and will remove the existing detached garage which is located to the north of the existing building.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake has designated itself as Lead Agency pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act in the environmental review of the Project; and

WHEREAS the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake has distributed copies of an Environmental Assessment Form and has provided copies of this document to the involved and interested agencies for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the probable environmental impacts of the Round Lake Hose Company Fire House Replacement Project have been carefully considered by the Planning Board;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake adopts the findings and conclusions relating to the probable environmental impacts contained in the attached Environmental Assessment Form and files the Negative Declaration in accordance with the applicable provisions of the law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Board authorizes the Planning Board Chair to take such further steps as might be necessary to the discharge of the Planning Board's responsibility as lead agency for this action.

By (unanimous/majority) vote of the Village of Round Lake Planning Board at its meeting of February XX, 2018.

Construction will not begin until March.

**Planning Board Meeting
January 24, 2018**

Mr. Brobston and Mr. Harrington discussed the emails that have gone back and forth with questions, attachment 6. Mr. Harrington addressed the turning radius of trucks into the median, Mr. Sievers said no changes to the apron are being made and the radius is same as it is today. Mr. Harrington clarified that the building heights were not the same, and that is based on SEQR vs. Village of Round Lake guidelines. Mr. Sheridan clarified it is 25' per Round Lake, the tower is not livable space, so that does not count. He recommended syncing the site size in the land use table to the site plan.

Mr. Harrington recommended obtaining a workable license agreement to the neighbors at the Mancini residence, which one of the Fire Department members is in charge of obtaining.

Mr. Spallholz asked where the new garbage dumpster would be located. Mr. Harrington and Mr. Brobston agreed that they had not discussed where they would place a garbage dumpster. They will add this to the final site plan.

To clearly delineate the <1 acre disturbance, Mr. Harrington recommends clearly calling out the boundaries on the map to show the demarcation.

Mr. Harrington feels that the project is in good shape from his engineering perspective.

Mr. Brobston will submit a final set of plans, which will address the questions and concerns exchanged in the meeting and via email, which Mr. Harrington will review and give his blessing to proceed.

Mr. Spallholz asked for a drainage analysis based on a 25 years storm, Mr. Brobston will provide that Mr. Harrington.

Mr. Sheridan made a motion for preliminary site plan approval based on the minor changes made to the map and agreed to by the engineer as discussed above, which was seconded by Ms. Murphy. Mr. Sheridan asked if there was any discussion. Mr. Spallholz, Ms. Murphy, Mr. Coldrick, and Mr. Sheridan unanimously approved the motion.

Open Discussion

The Planning Board reviewed the January 10, 2018 minutes. Ms. Murphy moved to approve the minutes as amended, which Mr. Coldrick seconded. Ms. Elford polled the Board: Mr. Coldrick – aye, Ms. Murphy – aye, Mr. Spallholz – aye, and Mr. Sheridan – aye.

Mr. Sheridan made a motion to adjourn at 8:20 PM. All were in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Heather K. Elford

Heather Elford
Planning Board Secretary

VILLAGE OF ROUND LAKE PLANNING BOARD
49 Burlington Ave, PO Box 85
Round Lake NY 12151-0085

SEQR Lead Agency Resolution

January 24, 2018

WHEREAS, the Round Lake Hose Company is undertaking a project called the Fire House Replacement Project (hereinafter referred to as 'the Project'). The proposed Fire House Replacement project is located at the site of the existing fire house at 13 Curry Avenue in the Village of Round Lake. The project proposes to remove the existing four bay fire house structure and replace it with a new four bay fire house with larger office spaces on the second floor, larger fireman's room on the second floor, a separation of hot and cold zones to improve fireman safety, and larger conference/training rooms. The project replaces the existing building in the same location in relation to the front yard setback. The existing sanitary and water connections will be cut and capped during construction and reconnected for use by the proposed structure. The 37 parking spaces shown for the project site utilize the existing limits of pavement to the north and a small pavement addition to the east of the building for fire responder parking during calls and fire events. The total acreage of the project site is less than 3 acres and the total site disturbance is less than 1 acre. The site grading is minimal and includes new yard drains and drainage pipe for the area of new pavement on the recently acquired lot. The project will eliminate an underground propane storage tank for the generator and will remove the existing detached garage which is located to the north of the existing building; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board is reviewing the project relative to provisions of the Village's Site Plan regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE VILLAGE OF ROUND LAKE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Planning Board hereby determines that the project is an Unlisted action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), Article 8 of the New York Environmental Conservation law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 617; and
2. The Planning Board hereby declares itself SEQRA Lead Agency; and
3. The Planning Board hereby instructs the Chair, with the assistance of the consulting engineer and attorney, to notify involved agencies of the Planning Board's intent to serve as SEQRA Lead Agency and to further initiate the coordinated review of the Project under the SEQRA regulations and authorizes the Chair to sign all environmental review documents

By (unanimous/majority) vote of the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake at its meeting of January 24, 2018.

Peter Sheridan, Chair

Heather Elford, Planning Board Secretary

Date

Date



Lamont Engineers

ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • FACILITY OPERATIONS

Dedicated to Service... Committed to Excellence

Date: January 25, 2018

To: Involved and Interested Agencies (See List Below)

Re: Round Lake Hose Company Fire House Replacement

Dear Involved and Interested Agencies:

The Round Lake Hose Company in the Village of Round Lake, Saratoga County, plans to complete a Fire House Replacement Project.

The proposed Fire House Replacement project is located at the site of the existing fire house at 13 Curry Avenue in the Village of Round Lake. The project proposes to remove the existing four bay fire house structure and replace it with a new four bay fire house with larger office spaces on the second floor, larger fireman's room on the second floor, a separation of hot and cold zones to improve fireman safety, and larger conference/training rooms.

The project replaces the existing building in the same location in relation to the front yard setback. The existing sanitary and water connections will be cut and capped during construction and reconnected for use by the proposed structure. The 37 parking spaces shown for the project site utilize the existing limits of pavement to the north and a small pavement addition to the east of the building for fire responder parking during calls and fire events. The total acreage of the project site is less than 3 acres and the total site disturbance is less than 1 acre. The site grading is minimal and includes new yard drains and drainage pipe for the area of new pavement on the recently acquired lot. The project will eliminate an underground propane storage tank for the generator and will remove the existing detached garage which is located to the north of the existing building.

Pursuant to state regulations 6 NYCRR Part 617 which implement the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR), this is to notify you that the Village of Round Lake Planning Board intends to act as Lead Agency in conducting the environmental review.

Enclosed are a project location map, project description and a completed Part 1 of the SEQR Full Environmental Assessment Form which provide a description of the proposed project and the project area. The Village of Round Lake Planning Board considers this project to be a SEQR Unlisted Action and will conduct a coordinated review.

Involved and interested agencies have been identified to include:

- Village of Round Lake
- Saratoga County Planning Department
- Town of Malta
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- New York State Department of Transportation

PO Box 610
Cobleskill, NY 12043
Tel: 518-234-4028
Fax: 518-234-4613
www.lamontengineers.com
lamont@lamontengineers.com

➤ United States Army Corps of Engineers

Please use the enclosed form to indicate your interest and concerns regarding your agency's role as lead agency and the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project. If you do not respond by February XX, 2018 the Village of Round Lake Planning Board will assume that your agency has no interest in the choice of lead agency and no comments on the project.

If you have any questions, please contact Michael Harrington at (518) 234-4028.

Sincerely,

Michael D. Harrington, P.E.
Principal Engineer
Lamont Engineers, P.C.
mharrington@lamontengineers.com

Enclosures

CC: Peter Sheridan, Village of Round Lake Planning Board Chair

ESTABLISHMENT OF LEAD AGENCY

PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT

Proposed Lead Agency: Village of Round Lake Planning Board

Proposed Action/Project: Fire House Replacement

Project Sponsor: Round Lake Hose Company

On behalf of _____
(agency name), I acknowledge receipt of the Village of Round Lake Planning Board's notice of intent to be lead agency with respect to the project noted above, issued pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act. I hereby:

1. endorse the Village of Round Lake Planning Board acting as lead agency

OR

do not endorse the Village of Round Lake Planning Board acting as lead agency and desire _____ serve as lead agency instead

OR

take no position on who acts as lead agency

2. provide comments on the proposed project (as an attachment)

OR

have no comments on the proposed project

Agency Name _____
(please print)

Signature _____

Date _____

Please return this form by February XX, 2018 to:

Lamont Engineers, P.C.
P.O. Box 610
197 Elm Street
Cobleskill, New York 12043

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project :

Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency’s reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer “**Yes**” to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer “**No**” to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box “Moderate to large impact may occur.”
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the “whole action”.
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land			
Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
<i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - j. If “No”, move on to Section 2.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____ _____	E2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: _____	D2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES (See Part 1. E.2) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

6. Impacts on Air			
The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

7. Impact on Plants and Animals			
The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. Other impacts: _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources			
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.)		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
<i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other impacts: _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.</i>				<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.</i>				<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

d. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered “Moderate to large impact may occur”, continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property’s setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation			
The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - e. If “No”, go to Section 12.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or “ecosystem services”, provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas			
The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - c. If “No”, go to Section 13.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES (See Part 1. D.2.j) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES (See Part 1. D.2.k) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Other Impacts: _____ _____			

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

16. Impact on Human Health			
The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
m. Other impacts: _____ _____			

17. Consistency with Community Plans			
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - h. If “No”, go to Section 18.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action’s land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Other: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

18. Consistency with Community Character			
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - g. If “No”, proceed to Part 3.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Project :

Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

SEQR Status: Type 1 Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1 Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the _____ as lead agency that:

A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d).

C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action:

Name of Lead Agency:

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Title of Responsible Officer:

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Date:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

Date:

For Further Information:

Contact Person:

Address:

Telephone Number:

E-mail:

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: <http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html>

VILLAGE OF ROUND LAKE PLANNING BOARD
49 Burlington Ave., PO Box 85
Round Lake, New York 12151

SEQR Negative Declaration Resolution

February XX, 2018

WHEREAS, the Round Lake Hose Company is undertaking a project called the Fire House Replacement Project (hereinafter referred to as 'the Project'). The proposed Fire House Replacement project is located at the site of the existing fire house at 13 Curry Avenue in the Village of Round Lake. The project proposes to remove the existing four bay fire house structure and replace it with a new four bay fire house with larger office spaces on the second floor, larger fireman's room on the second floor, a separation of hot and cold zones to improve fireman safety, and larger conference/training rooms. The project replaces the existing building in the same location in relation to the front yard setback. The existing sanitary and water connections will be cut and capped during construction and reconnected for use by the proposed structure. The 37 parking spaces shown for the project site utilize the existing limits of pavement to the north and a small pavement addition to the east of the building for fire responder parking during calls and fire events. The total acreage of the project site is less than 3 acres and the total site disturbance is less than 1 acre. The site grading is minimal and includes new yard drains and drainage pipe for the area of new pavement on the recently acquired lot. The project will eliminate an underground propane storage tank for the generator and will remove the existing detached garage which is located to the north of the existing building.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake has designated itself as Lead Agency pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act in the environmental review of the Project; and

WHEREAS the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake has distributed copies of an Environmental Assessment Form and has provided copies of this document to the involved and interested agencies for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the probable environmental impacts of the Round Lake Hose Company Fire House Replacement Project have been carefully considered by the Planning Board;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Board of the Village of Round Lake adopts the findings and conclusions relating to the probable environmental impacts contained in the attached Environmental Assessment Form and files the Negative Declaration in accordance with the applicable provisions of the law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Board authorizes the Planning Board Chair to take such further steps as might be necessary to the discharge of the Planning Board's responsibility as lead agency for this action.

By (unanimous/majority) vote of the Village of Round Lake Planning Board at its meeting of February XX, 2018.

Peter Sheridan, Chair

Heather Elford, Planning Board Secretary

Date

Date

Lamont Engineers Review Comments Memo #2 -- DRAFT

Round Lake Fire Station Replacement – Village of Round Lake Planning Board

Submission by LA Group – January 2018

Outstanding Presubmission and Checklist Items

1. A narrative description of the project should be provided.
2. A parking analysis should be provided based on the expected facility events.
3. ~~What is the design truck? Show truck route (with turning radii) through Parking Lot.~~ See Sheet L-2 comments below.
4. ~~Will pedestrian access provisions be needed?~~
5. ~~Will a new site sign be constructed, or will the existing sign remain?~~
6. Should the Planning Board request input from Blue Neils, the Village Stormwater Management Officer? If so, this should be done early. I understand that Blue Neils has been sent the drawings and the EAF. Do we have any feedback yet?
7. Does the Planning Board need to see a Building Permit Application? No response provided.

Long Environmental Assessment Form Part 1, dated 12/15/17

1. ~~At D.1.b, provide confirmation of 0.95 Acre disturbance.~~ No response provided, but using the PDF drawing sent, Lamont has confirmed the disturbance area (0.97 Acres) boundary is less than the 1.0 Acre threshold. Note, however, that we do see some areas of disturbance that appear to be beyond the limit of disturbance line. I will discuss these with LA Group before the meeting next week. See related Sheet L-2 comment.
2. ~~At D.1.c, aren't the building and/or parking area increasing?~~
3. ~~At D.1.d, will the residential piece be subdivided in the future?~~
4. ~~At D.1.g, the building height does not agree with Cover sheet of drawings.~~ Does the Planning Board agree with LA Group on the various definitions of building height ?
5. ~~At D.2.c and d, water and sewer usage should be acknowledged.~~The water supplier is the Village of Round Lake.
6. ~~At D.2.f, the answer should be Yes, as all sources listed are applicable.~~

7. ~~At D.2.m, both construction and operational noise should be identified.~~
8. ~~At D.2.p, what heating fuel will be used?~~
9. ~~At D.2.r, identify normal waste streams during construction and operation.~~
10. ~~At E.1, the total site size on the Land Use table does not agree with Cover page of drawings.~~
This chart was not changed and does not agree with the 2.802 site size on the cover page.
11. For answers to E.2 and E.3, provide background data used to answer the questions. **No response provided.**
12. **At C.1, "No" should be checked.**

Drawings, dated 1/5/18

Cover Sheet

1. ~~Project Location Map should show the adjacent parcel owned by the Fire Company.~~
2. ~~Lot Size should include adjacent parcel (to agree with E.1 of EAF).~~
3. ~~A front setback variance appears to be necessary.~~ **The Planning Board has agreed to waive this requirement.**
4. ~~The building height does not agree with the information provided in the EAF.~~
5. ~~Add existing/proposed building size and parking space count to site statistics table.~~

S-1 -- Survey Map

6. Consider reserving part of residential site along New York Ave. for a Village Water System Booster Pump Station. **No response provided. This issue was brought to my attention by the Deputy Mayor who had discussed this with a member of the Fire Department.**
7. Should the Planning Board see the DOT wetland mapping that is referenced? **No response provided.**
8. **Drawing should be labelled "S-1".**

L-1 -- Site Demolition and Preparation Plan

9. ~~A description of activities on the adjacent residential parcel should be provided.~~

10. Work extends onto the property of Mancini. Has an easement (or other permission) been obtained? **I recommend that a temporary easement or access agreement be obtained, but will defer to the advice of the Village Attorney.**
11. ~~Please provide a limit of disturbance line so that the disturbance area can be verified~~ **The line is shown but not labelled. Also, note that the sawcut at the driveway entrance is beyond the limit of disturbance.**

L-2 – Site Layout Plan

12. ~~Front setback variance will be needed.~~ **The Planning Board has agreed to waive this requirement.**
13. ~~Show route of design truck through the Parking Lot.~~ **The wheel path shown on Sheet L-2 extends into the median. Has DOT been consulted on this? Can this be avoided?**
14. ~~I see three (3) light poles. Will there be any other lighting, perhaps at the 9/11 memorial?~~
15. Will the Planning Board require a Lighting Analysis? If so, will the internal lighting through the window and door glass also be analyzed? **No response provided.**
16. ~~Concrete Paving is referenced at Legend, but Details are only provided for Asphalt. Please clarify.~~ **The hatch patterns are difficult to see, but it appears that the only concrete paving on-site will be for sidewalks and the patio area.**
17. **Where will the garbage dumpster be located in the new site layout ?**
18. **Given that keeping the limit of disturbance to less than 1.0 Acre is a key element of this project (to avoid a SWPPP), I feel that a note needs to be added to direct the contractor to delineate this line and maintain it through construction.**

L-3 – Site Grading and Utility Plan

19. Show easement for minor grading work on Mancini property. **See comment for sheet L-1.**
20. The 9/11 Memorial will be located above existing water main. **I suggest we get input from the DPW Superintendent. I also note from the draft minutes from 1/10/18 that relocation of the hydrant was discussed as if it were definite, but that does not appear on the current drawings.**
21. **Show flow arrows on the gravity drain lines, and particularly on the storm drainage systems.**

L-4 – Site Details

22. ~~Light Detail (Detail 8) references City-owned structures. Won't all structures be owned by Fire Department and not the Village?~~ **Detail 8 and the related schedule show 2 different pole heights. Also, the size of the concrete pole base is not detailed.**

23. Is the concrete pavement joint detail still needed (Detail 5)?

~~24. At fence detail, will an 8'-0" high fence be used anywhere? I do see the 6'-0" high fence on the Site Plan.~~

L-5 – Site Utility Details

25. No comments at this time.